# SPECIAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION 8/7/02



#### Agenda Item Cover Sheet

Agenda Item Nº.

Meeting Date August 7, 2002

U Consent Section	☐ Regular Section	■ Public Hearing	
Subject: Tampa Bay	Water's Application for an End Main Project	nvironmental Resource Permit Modification	for the Cosme
			ĺ
Department Name:	Water Resource Team, Community	y Services Team	
Contact Person:	Bart Weiss, Administrator	Contact Phone: 301-7256	
Sign-Off Approvals		0, /	
20	W-( 7-25-02	But 6/e	2-26-02
Assistant County Administrator	Date	Department Direction	Date
m -0. 75.	2. 7-26.62	CC 13.5	7-26-02
Janagement and Budget - Approved as to Islanci	il Impact Accuracy Date	County Attorney – Approved as to Legal Sufficiency	Date
Ctaffia Daganana	10 116		

#### Staff's Recommended Board Motion:

Direct staff not to arbitrate Tampa Bay Water's application for an Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) Modification for the Cosme Transmission Main Project.

#### **Financial Impact Statement:**

No financial impact is expected from this item.

#### Background:

In accordance with the County's policy on public hearings on Tampa Bay Water's Primary Environmental Permits, the following agenda item requires a public hearing because it involves a vote whether or not to arbitrate a modification of a Primary Environmental Permit.

The Cosme Transmission Main Project is the construction of a pipeline connecting the 84-inch transmission pipeline in Pasco County with the Cosme Odessa Water Treatment Plant. The Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) for the project was issued and construction on the pipeline has begun.

As construction commenced, a survey line for the pipeline easement was established incorrectly in several areas of the route. As the contractor cleared for the pipeline, additional wetland impacts from those allowed in the original ERP occurred. These impacts totaled an additional area of 0.29-acres. These impacts occurred on wetlands known as CS-3 and CS-5.

The Southwest Florida Water Management District (District) is requiring a permit modification of the ERP because the impacts occurred outside the project area outlined in the original permit. Impacts to wetland CS-5 included a number of mature cypress trees that according to District staff must be replaced by spaded mature trees. It is the opinion of Water Resource Team staff that the proposed permit modification meets all applicable rule criteria for permit modification issuance and adequately addresses the earlier permit deviations.

Hillsborough County EPC is involved in enforcement action against TBW. They will be coordinating with the District to ensure that adequate environmental restoration occurs and there is consistency in the ultimate outcome. EPC continues to coordinate with the HC Water Resource Team (HCWRT).

Under Governance, this ERP modification is considered a Primary Environmental Permit that could be arbitrated by Hillsborough County. It is the consensus of the HCWRT that the County not arbitrate this modification, because the proposed modification meets applicable District rule criteria and Hillsborough County's EPC is also addressing this item through its enforcement procedures. Also, the HCWRT will continue to monitor the District's efforts to resolve this issue.

List Attachments:



### Agenda Item Cover Sheet

Agenda Item No.

	Meeting Date August 7, 2002
□ Consent Section □ Regular Sec	tion  Public Hearing
implement a new control point m	revision to the Optimized Regional Operations Plan to onitor well for the Morris Bridge Wellfield
Department Name: Water Resource Team	, Community Services Team
Contact Person: Bart Weiss, Administr	rator Contact Phone: 301-7256
Sign-Off Approvats	
2-15	02 JB 5 W- 7-26-8
Assistant County Administrator Date	Depth could Directly Date
Management and Budget - Approved as to Jameial Impact Accuracy	
	County Altorney - Approved as to Legal Sufficiency Date
Staff's Recommended Board Motion:	
Direct staff not to arbitrate Tampa Bay Water's proponew control point monitor well for the Morris Bridge	osed revision to the Optimized Regional Operations Plan to implement a Wellfield.
Financial Impact Statement:	
No financial impact expected from this item.	
Background:	
In accordance with the County's policy on public hear following agenda item requires a public hearing becau a Primary Environmental Permit.	ings on Tampa Bay Water Primary Environmental Permits, the se it involves a vote whether or not to arbitrate a permit modification of
consolidated permit wellfields to meet member govern pumping every two weeks, based on water level data a wellfield area. Consolidated Permit conditions require Environmental Monitoring Plan (EMP). Twice yearly, One part of the EMP report lists wetland features that a	o determine the best distribution of pumping from the eleven ments' water demands. The plan produces a new distribution of t 32 control point wells and wetlands distributed throughout the eleven-monitoring of wetlands, springs, and streams through an Tampa Bay Water produces a report providing results of the EMP. are being unusually impacted by wellfield pumping. If a wetland y Water to "refer" the wetland to the operations plan to try to change
After reviewing the distribution of pumping at the well	ris Bridge Wellfield was referred to the OROP for the second time. field, the OROP Technical Advisory Committee has suggested adding a the impacted wetland. A suitable shallow well was identified and the rell from historical water levels.
as a control point. The Water Resource Team believes	Board approved the modification of the OROP to include the new well that this modification to the OROP will improve environmental ands that the Board of County Commissioners not elect to arbitrate this
List Attachments:	

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION OF HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY

#### AGENDA ITEM COVER SHEET

DATE: August 7, 2002

TO: Environmental Protection Commissioners

FROM: Darrell Howton, Director, Wetlands Management Division

SUBJECT: Request for Authority to take appropriate legal action and for settlement authority regarding Thomas Frederick

BACKGROUND: Mr.. Thomas Frederick owns property located at 10705 Lake Alice Cove, Odessa. Within the property are areas of wetlands under the jurisdiction of the Environmental Protection Commission. Chapter 1-11, Wetlands, Rules of the EPC, prohibits impacts to wetland without the authorization of the EPC Executive Director. On November 20, 2000, Mr. Frederick was issued Warning Notice #20386 on-site for violations of Miscellaneous Activities in Wetlands Authorization and conducted clearing of wetland vegetation associated with Lake Alice without the authorization of the Executive Director.

On January 18, 2001, A Notification of Case transfer to Enforcement Letter was sent to Mr. Frederick. On March 8, 2001, Mr. Frederick entered into a Consent Order with EPC to resolve the violations. However, inspection on April 23, 2001, in response to a citizen complaint, revealed a portion of the wetland area had been impacted in violation of the Consent Order and EPC rules. Warning Notice #20607 was issued on-site. On April 30, 2001, inspection in response to a complaint revealed further wetland vegetation clearing by use of heavy machinery. On June 29, 2001, inspection in response to a citizen complaint revealed wetland vegetation was cleared via herbicide use. On August 23, 2001, Mr. Frederick met with EPC staff in an attempt to amicably resolve the matter. On September 13, 2001, EPC staff issued a permit for nuisance plant control. On July 24, 2002 inspection in response to a citizen complaint revealed that heavy machinery clearing wetland vegetation and placing fill dirt in wetlands in violation of the previously issued permit. The operator was instructed to cease activity in the wetlands and was issued Warning Notice #30296. On July 30, 2002, staff again inspected the site in response to a citizen complaint and observed additional filling activity in wetlands. Warning Notice #30309 was issued.

TC1 1' '11' C					
The activities refe	erenced above were not	included in an EPC authorization, and therefore			
were without the authorization of the EPC Executive Director.					
violated Chapter Environmental Pr	1-11, Rules of the EP trotection Act by clearing ECOMMENDATION: C	resolution of the matter. Mr. Frederick has C, and Section 17 of the Hillsborough County ng and filling wetlands without the appropriate Grant authority to pursue appropriate legal action			
	ACTION TAKEN	BY THE COMMISSION			
[] Approved	[ ] Disapproved	[ ] Continued/Deferred Until			
Other:					
SPECIAL INSTR	UCTIONS:				
	Ву:				
MEETING		•			
DATE: DIAGRAM (IF A)	PPROPRIATE)				
DETOTO ENT (H 7 H	i i Roi Ria i L				

: